

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/171,885	10/28/1998	ROGER S. CUBICCIOTTI	BDA-0038	8594
75	90 01/27/2003			
JANE MASSEY LICATA LAW OFFICES OF JANE MASSEY LICATA 66 E MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			WARE, TODD	
MARLTON, N	J 08053		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	30
		DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/171,885	CUBICCIOTTI, ROC	GER S.
, <b></b>	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Todd D Ware	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated applicated and applicated applications.	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			t I Indon In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The apportinally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in fithe appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment.
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided belo	)  will be entered a w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-40</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 30-33 and	<u>41</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		roved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	, , , , , , ,		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: further consideration and possibly new search for the process where the synthetic receptor is no longer required to be an antibody fragment is required since previous consideration permitted antibody fragments as the synthetic receptor. Applicant's comments filed 12-23-02 are not persuasive since the amendment, if entered as proposed, would change the scope of the claims as previously considered and therefore require further consideration and possibly new search.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600